

My response;

*"Given Tim's tendency towards threats and violent language..."* I have never threatened him or used any violent language. Steven has again made all of this up. Bold moves would be to do as I stated on the main page of my journal; go public with what I know about him, file a lawsuit, ask law enforcement to become involved, etc. None of the actions I mentioned were violent in nature and in fact are all completely legal. Steven has again fabricated all of the claims against me concerning threats of violence.

See previous sections for what happened during the time(s) I visited his work and/or home. None of that was even close to being threatening. Asking a church to help move things forward towards meditation is a threat? Visiting his home to tell him he is *"LOVED and FORGIVEN"* is *"violent language"*? It seems clear to me that Steven is seriously delusional and acting/acted on an evil plan to intentionally harm/destroy my family.

**14. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of creating a public website he claimed was meant to publicly defame him. It was only an online journal.**

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24-25.

*"PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE....Respondent created a defamatory website and threatened to spread the link publicly.*

My response:

This was a personal and private online journal. I allowed Steven to see it one time. He violated copyright law by copying the entire journal, then attempted to use it against me. This private journal did not contain any threats of violence at all. The main part of the journal contained a collection of emails that had been sent back and forth between Steven, Brittany, my wife and I, as well as some letters I had sent Brittany, and some moments of personal reflection. Again, nothing threatening violence of any type.

When Steven would not agree to meet or come together for mediation, I added a home page to the journal stating I would make this journal public if he did not work towards a resolution between us so I could have a relationship with my daughter. On that page I clearly stated that everything would be done legally. It also included a picture of Steven's face with the eyes made to look dark. That was to show how much darkness I believed was in Steven in order for him to act the way he had been, and beneath it was a **call to prayer**. [Emphasis added]. Should the private journal be made public, this would be a request for people to pray for him. Again, there was no threat of violence to him or anyone else. This was plain and obvious, but Steven instead chose to twist things around to make it seem that he was an intended victim.

Exhibit / Evidence; H. Copy of private journal.

**15. Steven Anderson falsely accused me of having said he was responsible for \$350,000 in medical bills.**

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 24.

*"Claimed I was responsible for \$350,000 of medical damages..."*

My response;

I have never claimed there were/are \$350,000 of medical damages or bills. I have health insurance and most of the medical bills have been paid through them. In recent years I have gone to the doctor's office and there have been concerns about cancer and my heart. During those times Steven has not shown any care or concern for my health. Not even an offer of prayer. This falls far beneath the level expected of a Christian, and especially of church faculty or a pastor.

### **More False Claims**

Steven Filing Part 1. Page 26.

*"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature. In March of this year, he reported suicide ideation and holding a gun to his head, as a result of the deterioration with the relationship with his daughter....He seems unable to regulate his emotions, is willing to bring a firearm into the mix and is fixated on me being the cause of his broken relationship with his daughter. I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me. After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me. By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."*

My response;

*"...He has lived out delusions of a violent nature...."*

*"He seems unable to regulate his emotions..."*

*"I fear that he may have another incident with a firearm and that he may attempt to include me."*

*"After he visited my place of work, I felt as though I had to check the parking lot before leaving work, to make sure he wasn't following me."*

*"By involving my employer, I have lost a sense of stability at work, as the staff leadership is concerned he may attempt an act of violence against me, the leadership or the congregation."*

Those are all gross exaggerations intended to falsely make him appear to be a victim. One evening I did very briefly hold a gun up to my head at a park, within hours of a painful counseling session with my daughter. Later, I told my wife about this. We agreed I should get some help at the hospital. Once there, they offered to let me stay with them for inpatient counseling, and clearly stated my stay was completely voluntary. I agreed, and over the next 24 hours worked with a mental health doctor (Dr. Brown) and her staff to develop coping skills. The following day I asked to be discharged, and the doctor agreed. She stated there were no concerns for suicide or that I would act out in a violent manner. I went to the hospital voluntarily, stayed voluntarily, and left voluntarily with no concerns that I was a threat either to myself or anyone else.

Steven's statements, listed above, are completely false, fabricated, and being used as an attempt to slander and libel me, with the clear intent of causing immeasurable harm to myself and my family.

The above statements made by Steven clearly show that he is making up these terrible claims about me. There is no evidence at all that I have ever threatened him. Steven is cleverly twisting



words around in an attempt to make himself appear to be a victim, and to cause harm to my family. In doing so he has also caused undue concern from the church and congregation.

Exhibit / Evidence G. Hospital papers from overnight stay.

**16. Steven Anderson convinced my daughter to falsely accuse me of domestic violence. (First HRO.)**

Shortly after I went to tell them they were LOVED and FORGIVEN, I was served court papers by the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office. These papers were Steven and Brittany filing for a Harassment Restraining Order against me. Once I read their complaint(s) against me it became clear the type of lies Steven had been telling about me, specifically to my daughter, Brittany. I assembled all the evidence I had and planned to ask for a trial, during which I would fully expose Steven and his lies intended to harm both myself and my family. Especially concerning were allegations there was 'domestic violence' on the main page of Brittany's filing. There never was any violence of any kind whatsoever between me and Brittany. That claim is false and is a horrible allegation.

Their Attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh, told me beforehand that if I did not accept the HRO without finding of fact, it would harm my daughter, her integrity and profession as a nurse. Though I could have presented an enormous amount of evidence and witnesses to refute any of Steven's claims (which are lies), out of an abundance of love for my daughter, Brittany, I accepted the HRO without finding of fact. Mr. Van Loh went on to tell me that *"It's a tough day for a dad"* and that he understands because of his own daughter's wedding, and that I am *"Doing the right thing."*

During that same meeting, he asked that the website I had up about Steven be taken down. I specifically told him that was not a website and that it was my private journal - one that I discreetly shared with Steven. He then said, *"That's what pen and paper are for."* So, he knew that was a private journal, but in the future, he would act as though it was a public website that I used to disparage Steven.

In one later email he even specifically referred to that website as a "public website." Why would anyone refer to a website in that manner? Unless of course they know it's private and are trying to pretend it is not.

It should be noted that despite being out of my daughter's life was/is incredibly painful, during the next two (2) years I only violated the HRO one time, and only due to a medical emergency. This happened when I was feeling ill and was advised by my doctor's office to come see them soon. While driving there I began experiencing chest pains when only a few blocks away from the clinic. Instead of going my usual long way around to get there, and avoiding Calvary church on Highway 96 (a place where I was ordered to not go within two (2) blocks of) I instead opted for the direct route which took me past the church. I did not stop at the church or otherwise interact with anyone there. I only drove past it on in my attempt to get to the clinic as quickly as possible. My thoughts were focused on getting medical help. Once at the clinic an EKG was performed. The attending physician immediately recognized I was having a cardiac event and called for an ambulance. I was transported to the nearest hospital for treatment.

Other than this one time due to a medical emergency, I obeyed the court order and did not violate the HRO. I am a peaceful and law-abiding citizen.

Shortly after the HRO was accepted by me, Brittany and Steven were married. This occurred on June 19<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Two of Brittany's three siblings did not attend the wedding, and neither did

anyone from my side of the family. They read the HRO filing and do not believe the lies being told about me. In addition, several people who read the HRO said they were present when some of the events took place, and that Steven was lying in his description of what happened. Several of them stated another reason they did not attend was due to Steven's rude behavior towards them.

I was told by several people in attendance at the wedding that Steven had hired two (2) off-duty police officers to provide security, and that he had done so claiming he was fearful I would show up at the wedding and become violent. I allege he did this for dramatic purposes only, because I never was a threat to him.

Exhibit / Evidence; M. HRO cover page claiming domestic violence.  
Also, R. Background.

### **17. Steven Anderson falsely claimed I sent a threatening letter.**

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

*"May 4, 2023---Threatening Letter to Petitioner: Respondent contacted me through my attorney threatening again to protest me at my place of employment (See Exhibit C). In his letter, Respondent threatens that the only way to prevent this from occurring is to, "...Speak up now. Once [respondent]'s plan goes into action, there is no stopping or turning back" Respondent also accuses me of telling, "bullshit lies" and ends his letter "There is one question you need to ask yourself; what do you think will happen to your life when the truth comes out? Tick-tock." [Emphasis Added].*

My response;

More false claims about my being a threat. There is nothing threatening about a peaceful protest. I even asked for his input on how to resolve this matter so I could have a relationship with my daughter. But here again, he twists things around with the help of his attorney, to make me seem like I am some violent crazed person. They even put an emphasis on the phrase "Tick-tock" as though it were a reference to a bomb. It was clearly a reference to a clock as I had stated earlier in the letter that the first HRO was expiring soon.

He also falsely claims the protests would be against him – when in fact I clearly stated in the letter to the church it would be about them performing a proper investigation. How is that a threat to him, unless he is afraid of the truth coming out?

### **A Danger to the Church?**

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

*" Respondent has resumed and appears to be prepared to intensify the harassment against me and my wife, Brittany upon the expiration of the HRO on May 27, 2023. Although directed towards me, his threats of harassment involve and endanger 1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children, at both campuses as well as the full and part time staff of both campuses. I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."*



My response;

*"...intensity the harassment..."* By having a peaceful protest at a church asking them to perform an investigation? A protest I would not even be attending. How does a peaceful protest endanger *"...1,500+ congregants, including hundreds of children..."* ?

*".... I have grown in my concern and uncertainty of what the Respondent may do or say to me or those around me."*

Here again he is twisting the truth in an attempt to make me appear to be a violent crazy person who the entire church should be afraid of. Meanwhile I am spending time with my family, friends, and coworkers. None of whom have any concerns about their safety around me. They think Steven's claims are unfounded and outrageous. Where is the evidence that I have ever threatened Steven, my daughter or the church? There is nothing except Steven's lies. All of the letters I sent to the church were respectful, even asking them to prayerfully consider helping, and peacefully requesting their help, etc. I would never threaten any violence against a church, or its members. This claim is false and made-up by Steven.

### **Letter to the Church**

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 4.

*"Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel is not only shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me. His letters to Brittany demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me, and which he intends to resume his harassment on May 27, 2023."*

My response:

*"Respondent's threatening letter sent to Calvary's legal counsel ..."* What threat? I mentioned a peaceful protest and asked for them to perform an investigation.

*"...shocking and exhibits his intent and ability to continue his campaign of harassment against me."* Harassment? There wasn't any. Steven made all of that up. I am the person who tried to make peace over a dozen times. Steven is the person whose intent was to cause harm to me and my family.

*"...demonstrate his intent to relentlessly pursue reconciliation for the relationship he has damaged with his own daughter, but for which he now blames me..."*

The facts clearly show that the damaged relationship with my daughter was caused by Steven. That he intentionally caused these damages, and it was also his intention to harm my family. Several times I reached out to Calvary church. I approached them as respectfully as possible asking for help, stating that Steven has been acting inappropriately and has refused to work towards a resolution. I asked they review the facts and prayerfully consider helping bring a resolution to this situation. There was no response to the first request, so I sent a second. Shortly afterwards a letter came in the mail from their attorney stating I was not welcome on either of their campuses and to not have any further contact with them.

Later I learned that Steven claimed he was so afraid of me being violent that he was being escorted into the church from the parking lot each day, and then back to his car when he left. He also said he was always looking over his shoulder in fear that I might attack him.

The church then said the wedding could not happen on their premises and no one from the church would officiate the wedding out of concern for their safety. If the church considered me such a threat, such a villainous violent person, why weren't the police ever called? If Steven was that afraid of me, the police should have been notified. But they weren't.

In the restraining order he claimed to be so afraid of me that at one point he thought about jumping out of a 2<sup>nd</sup> story window and fleeing for his life. Yet he never called the police – not even once. He cannot state even one single threat I've ever made to him – not one – because there weren't any. Because I don't want violence – I never did. Any perceived threats are made-up. Purely fabricated. I have always tried to settle this as family should. His response has been to twist the truth, exaggerate and spread more lies about me.

Exhibit / Evidence; I. Copy of letter to the church seeking help.

### **Contacting their attorney trying to make peace**

I contacted their attorney and asked if there was a way to make peace. After several emails exchanged between Mr. Van Loh and myself, he stated that Steven does not want to reconcile with me.

Exhibit / Evidence; L. Van Loh emails.

### **The Video**

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

*"June 2021 YouTube Video. At some point following the May 27, 2021 HRO, Respondent created a 90+ minute YouTube video "responding" to the HRO, but specifically speaking out and challenging the allegations in the Petition, even though he agreed to an entry of an HRO voluntarily without the court making findings of the alleged harassment. Respondent enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa to film who he then had it forwarded the video to my wife. The video was originally posted on a password protected webpage on his site, his "ministry" site, not the website he was required to take down after the HRO. He has since taken down his ministry website. Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary."*

My response;

I agreed to an entry of (the first) HRO voluntarily because their attorney, Mr. Dan Van Loh told me that if I did not accept it that it would be harmful to my daughter, her reputation and her career. I accepted it out of concern and love for my daughter. Shortly afterwards several members of my family came to me asking various questions about the HRO. Because of the nature of this matter and how emotionally hard it was for me to discuss it, my youngest daughter, Vanessa volunteered to help me make a video where I could both explain and reply to the terrible allegations that were made against me. After the video was created, I posted it on my website on a private & unlisted page, that was additionally password protected. I then shared the page address and password with five (5) family members. I asked that they let me know when they had watched the video so I could remove it from the private, unlisted and password protected webpage.



To be clear, there is nothing that threatens violence in that video at all. Steven's allegations of that are completely false. Steven also states, "*Attached are screenshots of the video (Exhibit E) which is available in full detail if a contested hearing is necessary.*" This is clearly an attempt to make it seem as if the video contains threats and/or contains content that is nefarious. This is completely false.

Steven also claims that I had my daughter Vanessa forward it onto my daughter Brittany. This is also false, and he has no evidence to support this. Nonetheless, the referee (Elizabeth A. Clydesdale) believed this to be the truth, without any evidence to support this false claim, and ignoring the statement of my daughter, Brittany, said I was guilty of violating the first HRO. "*...enlisted the help of my wife Brittany's sister, Vanessa...*" Steven's wife, Brittany, is my daughter, So, it stands to reason that her sister is likely also my daughter (she is). He could have just referred to her as 'Respondents daughter, Vanessa', but he didn't. Here again he wants to interject himself into every scenario possible – especially if he can falsely make himself appear to be a victim. My daughter, Vanessa, and I have a close and loving relationship. Vanessa was originally a bridesmaid at the wedding of her sister, Brittany. She backed out after she saw the things that Steven was doing, and also after reading the first HRO and recognizing the lies he was telling. She knows he is a liar and that's why she volunteered to help with the making of the video.

Exhibit / Evidence: Private video can be viewed by typing this link into a web browser.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZbYLEITAwO0>

### **18. Steven Anderson made false claims that he feared I would bring harm to Calvary Church congregation and staff.**

Affidavit - Steven with Exhibits. Page 3.

*" 12. With the pending dismissal of the HRO on May 27, 2023, the Respondent has stated his intent to resume the same level of harassment which includes picketing at the place of my employment, Calvary Church, Roseville and White Bear Township. The Respondent violated Paragraph 1A of the HRO by sending threatening emails to my employer, Calvary Church, a threatening letter to me, and by sending a letter threatening me to my wife, Brittany Anderson, who also has a Harassment Restraining Order against the Respondent."*

My response;

My youngest sister, who knew the HRO was about to expire, suggested that she take some action. She was doing this with good intentions. Just a few months prior, her only daughter had suddenly and unexpectedly passed away at the age of 40. I stood by her throughout this horrible ordeal. She wanted to find a way to help me with the situation concerning my daughter, Brittany. Her idea was to form a peaceful protest at Calvary Church and continue doing so until they agreed to do a proper investigation. I initially said no, don't do anything. But she was insistent and even looked up the laws concerning a peaceful protest. I eventually and reluctantly agreed to go along with this idea of hers. **But I made it abundantly clear that I would not participate in those protests.** The most I would do is to send a letter to Steven and Calvary Church explaining the intention of an upcoming protest. The letter to the church was very respectful. The letter to Steven was more pointed. Because, after all, this was all his fault. And because of his actions, there were many negative impacts on my life and those of my family. But there was no threat in



any of my communication(s). They are stating it as such for dramatic effect. Meanwhile, back in reality, I have obeyed the HRO and was not posing a threat to anyone.

The response I got was to be served papers that Steven and Brittany had filed for an extension of the HRO's. They requested a hearing on an emergency basis, claiming that I was such a threat that they feared for their lives, and were concerned I would go to their church and harm/murder hundreds of children. He went as far as to falsely state that I previously claimed to be a CIA assassin. That is not the truth, and he is including this merely for dramatic effect. I have never been a CIA assassin, an employee of the CIA, or employed as a federal officer or any letter agency, nor have I ever made such a claim.

My intention was to ask for a trial, at which time I would bring forth all the evidence I have, including the things mentioned in this Civil Complaint. At that time, I asked my sister to abandon any intentions of protesting, and she agreed.

During the first hearing for the second HRO I requested a trial. Their attorney, Mr. Van Loh suggested that nothing from the first HRO be allowed at trial. The referee, Elizabeth A Clydesdale agreed.

At the trial, I became aware that I had improperly submitted my evidence and none of it would be allowed. Though I had sent it to the State evidence collection system properly, I did not understand that it also needed to be sent to their attorney. I emailed a list of the evidence and incorrectly assumed they would obtain the evidence from the State evidence system. I emailed Mr. Van Loh's office to ensure they got the evidence, and the email reply came back with one word; "Received". They could have said the evidence was missing from the email, but they didn't. Instead, they used my inexperience as an opportunity to have the evidence to not be allowed. This is another example of how this trial was not about the truth or justice in any way, shape, or form. This was yet another attack on myself and my family.

The only evidence they presented was my private journal, which was from the first HRO.

Referee Clydesdale allowed it, despite her previous statement. That private journal ended up being a primary focus of their case. In addition to it being improperly allowed as evidence, Mr. Van Loh was using this journal to present their case claiming that I was somehow a threat to Steven and/or my daughter. The journal contains no threats of violence towards anyone.

The referee did not explain that I was not obligated to answer any of their attorneys' questions. In the beginning of the trial, I was sworn in, and their attorney began questioning me. I believe the court should have informed me that I have a right to refuse to be questioned by their attorney. I felt like it was an obligation to answer their attorney's questions. If I had known that I did not have to answer any questions, I would have remained silent during his questioning.

During the trial Mr. Van Loh acted rudely and was clearly not interested in truth and justice. This was purely a seek-and-destroy mission for him. He was also rude to my witnesses and suggested to the court that my witnesses were lying, without presenting any evidence supporting his allegation(s).

I felt as though his goal was clearly to make me appear as though I was one of the worst humans on this earth, without any supporting evidence, and went as far as to ask the HRO's be for life. Though I was allowed to present a few witnesses, their attorney treated them harshly. This is the same attorney who previously would claim that any attempt by me to settle this matter by filing a lawsuit amounted to "**Threatening legal attacks.**" [Emphasis added.] Anytime I attempted to find ways to reconcile matters, Mr. Van Loh would state in an email, that my continued efforts to work things out with my daughter were only pushing her away. He intentionally made things worse and more difficult for me to restore peace and harmony in my family.



My first witness was my sister, Sunnie. She wanted to read a statement but was denied the opportunity by the referee. When I tried questioning her about the content(s) of the statement, their attorney objected to almost everything and her testimony was largely not allowed.

Afterwards, their attorney called my sister a liar. (Her statement is included as evidence and listed on the Exhibit / Evidence list as item "Q".)

Since my witnesses were being treated in a manner which I viewed as being unfair and too harshly, I decided to present the remainder of the case myself. However, when I tried to do so, the referee stopped the proceedings and said she would hear closing comments before issuing a ruling. So, I was literally not allowed to fully present my case.

Had I been allowed to, part of that would have been to ask for this matter be referred to the Ramsey County Sheriff's Office for investigation and criminal proceedings. In the Spring of 2023, I met with someone from the Sheriff's Office and showed them the info / evidence I had. They stated if I could have the civil court refer this matter to them, they would proceed with a criminal investigation against Steven. They further stated that Steven would likely be arrested and put in jail. I asked what I had done wrong, if anything. The response was "*Nothing sir, you're a good father*".

They had no witnesses other than myself, and no evidence other than my private journal; which should not have been allowed based upon the agreement between the attorney and the referee. The second HRO was granted for two-years for my daughter, and ten-years for Steven. The reasons for this were due to the inappropriate actions of their attorney as well as the referee's actions not being conducive for the truth to be presented.

Exhibit / Evidence; Q. Statement my sister was not allowed to present in court.

**19. Steven Anderson has caused me emotional distress leading to the dissolution of my marriage and impacting me financially. Here is a list of ways his actions have impacted me.**

1. Marriage of 31 years ended in divorce. Due to the emotional stress caused by the words and actions of Steven, I became withdrawn and difficult to be married to. The decision to divorce was deeply sad and painful for both my wife and I.
2. Loss of relationship with my daughter, Brittany. This is the most painful thing I have ever experienced in my life.
3. As part of the divorce, we had to sell our family home where we had lived for 24 years. On my last day there, after moving my personal possessions out, I returned one last time to shower. From the time I entered the bathroom, showered, got dressed and walked out the front door one last time, I cried. The entire time I was in tears. My ex-wife shared that she too cried, almost every day for a month after moving out. After selling the house I became homeless and lived in hotels for weeks until being able to rent an apartment.
4. My career ended due to performance reasons directly because of the stress from this terrible situation. I was making many mistakes at work because of the stress. I quit and later was hired by a Target Stores contractor as a manager to perform a job I previously held and excelled at. This time, however, I could not perform the job and stepped down after a month. They kept me on as a driver. After one week, while

performing an action I had done approximately 30,000 times previously, I made a mistake that caused significant damage to a piece of equipment. I was immediately fired.

5. My health has declined in various ways, including mental and physical. In addition to the depression and sadness over the loss of a relationship with my daughter, there was a near suicide attempt (as mentioned earlier). My heart has always been healthy in the past. Now I am on several medications. This is because of the stress. I decline to share any further information about my health.
6. More stress and heartache than I have ever experienced in my life. The pain and suffering I have experienced, as well as my family, has been unimaginable.
7. Loss of enjoyment of activities I normally engaged in; i.e. motorcycle riding. In a typical year I would ride approximately 5,000-7,000 miles. During 2023 and 2024 I have ridden about 500 miles each year. Have also missed several longer multi-day trips that I normally go on with my brother and friends.

Exhibit / Evidence; N. Pics of trailer I damaged at work just prior to being fired.

**20. Steven Anderson has falsely claimed that I did not fulfil my financial commitment to support him.**

At the beginning of the relationship between Steven and my daughter, he asked me to help support him financially. I agreed to help and began sending him monthly contributions through an organization called Reliant. Despite all the harm he caused both myself and my family, I had remained hopeful this relationship could be fixed. Because of that I continued sending him financial help every month and continued doing so for 2 ½ years. I stopped in June of 2023 because that is the month my wife moved out of our family home. Again, our marriage ended only due to the incredible amount of stress caused by Steven's lies. So, when my wife moved out, I stopped making monthly payments to help him.

Later I learned that Steven had told my daughter that I promised to help them financially, but then never did. That is a lie – I helped financially support him for 2 ½ years.

Exhibit / Evidence: E. Reliant Receipts for years 2021, 2022, 2023.